COLCHESTER®
GLOBAL INVESTORS

Discussion Paper:

Overnight Cash Rates in New Zealand

April 2020

Heathcoat House
20 Savile Row
London W1S 3PR
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 207 292 6920

885 Third Avenue
24th Floor
New York, NY 10022
United States of America
Tel: +1 646 472 1800

6 Battery Road #40-02A
Six Battery Road
Singapore 049909
Singapore
Tel: +65 3158 0222

Level 10
20 Martin Place
Sydney NSW 2000
Australia
Tel: +61 2 8599 2132

Index Tower, Unit 403
Dubai International
Financial Centre
Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Tel: +971 5 0463 5370

Over the last couple of weeks there has been speculation in the media and amongst certain financial commentators that the Reserve Bank of New Zealand might lower the OCR into negative territory. At Colchester we do not try to predict where central bank activity is going and what future rates, in this case the OCR, are going to be. In line with our process, we only try to predict inflation rates two years forward to feed into our real yield forecasts. However, we can offer some comments on why the OCR could go negative and what that might mean.

Our two-year inflation forecast for New Zealand is currently just 1.4%. This is below the RBNZ target of 2%. If our forecast is realised, then this suggests that the RBNZ may try further measures to hit the target. One of the reasons that we believe the RBNZ may not achieve its inflation target is because of the severe economic slowdown that the country is likely to face owing to the impact of the coronavirus. Other commentators have also examined this possible slowdown and believe that to further alleviate the situation the Reserve Bank and Government would need to take more vigorous action to stimulate the economy. For some this would include cutting the OCR into negative territory.

This may turn out to be correct, but we believe that central banks are increasingly reluctant to take rates into negative territory. The US Federal Reserve, the Bank of England and the Reserve Bank of Australia have all recently kept rates positive when faced with a similar situation to that of New Zealand. When we look at some of the major central banks who have taken the step into negative rates, Japan, the Euro Zone, and Switzerland, it is far from clear that they have had major success with the policy. Inflation in these countries has remained subdued and growth has been tepid.

There is also increasing academic literature that is critical of negative interest rates.[1] Of particular note, a very recent paper by Eggerstsson, Juelsrud, Summers and Wold (2019) concluded that the drop into negative rates in Sweden actually increased borrowing rates there. Normally when interest rates are reduced, banks reduce the rates they pay on deposits, thereby reducing bank funding costs in parallel (give or take). This then enables banks to reduce lending rates accordingly. However, when interest rates went negative in Sweden, deposit rates did not fall into negative territory. This was the same in Japan, Denmark and the Euro-area. With most banks sourcing the majority of their funding from deposits, once negative rates were introduced, bank profitability declined, forcing them to raise borrowing costs to offset the lower lending spread. This starts to undermine the traditional monetary transmission mechanism.

Of course, there is no theoretical reason why deposit rates could not be negative, but it is easy to think of practical reasons why this would not work. Often depositors are putting their money in the bank at negative real interest rates, with inflation being above the deposit rate. However, they are seeing a nominal increase in their money, or at worst, are not seeing a nominal decrease in their money. This so called "money illusion" results in deposits remaining "sticky" in this scenario, even though the real value is declining. However, once they are being charged, with a negative interest rate to hold cash deposits, the traditional "store of value" concept of holding cash is broken, and depositors are less likely to leave their money in the bank. It is possible that the vast majority of people would still keep their money in the bank at negative rates, but so far banks have not taken the risk of finding out.

[1] For example Gauti B Eggertsson, Ragnar E. Juelsrud, Lawrence H. Summers, Ella Getz Wold (2019) Negative Nominal Interest Rates and the Bank Lending Channel, National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 25416

Markus K. Brunnermeier, and Koby Yann (2017) The Reversal Interest Rate: An Effective Lower Bound on Monetary Policy, Princeton

What this has meant is that deposit rates have not generally moved into negative territory, so the cost of bank funding has remained unchanged, making it harder to reduce lending rates. If anything, bank profitability has reduced because of negative rates making them less likely to lend. It is worth pointing out that the Riksbank disagreed with the findings of the paper just discussed.

In New Zealand, banks rely on deposits for around 40% of their funding and in past Financial Stability reports the RBNZ has expressed concern that this might fall, increasing the reliance of banks on offshore funding. This would then increase their exposure to offshore risks that could adversely affect the cost and availability of this funding. In the most recent Financial Stability report, from November 2019, the RBNZ discusses the issue of bank profitability in light of low interest rates whilst banks are competing to attract deposits.

Notwithstanding these concerns about the effectiveness of such a policy, it is perfectly possible that the RBNZ will take rates negative. As such it is sensible to consider some of the impacts this may have on offshore global bond investments, like the portfolios we run at Colchester.

In short, while a move to push interest rates into negative territory in New Zealand will have little to no impact on global interest rates and currencies, it is likely to increase the attractiveness of global bond and currency portfolio's relative to domestic New Zealand bonds and the Kiwi dollar.

On the bond side, the reduction in the OCR may bring down nominal rates across the curve. Assuming no significant deterioration in the inflation outlook relative to the rest of the world, this is likely to reduce the attractiveness of holding NZ bonds. Given the already negative real yields on offer in the NZ bond market, we at Colchester have not been invested in any NZ bonds. As their relative attractiveness would continue to deteriorate under this scenario, that is unlikely to change. While we can't speak for other managers, it is reasonable to assume that a decline in nominal yields under these circumstances will make NZ bonds less attractive to them as well, if at all. Decreasing foreign participation in the domestic bond market at a time of increasing Government funding needs increases the dependency on the RBNZ bond buying program, potentially increasing vulnerabilities.

The effect of the additional monetary easing on the New Zealand dollar is likely to be negative, assuming the move to negative rates was not already "fully priced" by the market. Although the main driver of Colchester's FX valuation process is an assessment of the real exchange rate (or Purchasing Power Parity - PPP) we do include an element of short term real carry within the valuation framework along with an assessment of the strength of a country's overall financial balance sheet. Despite the fall against the US dollar over the past couple of years, the Kiwi dollar remains meaningfully overvalued against most global currencies on our valuation metrics. As a result, we are currently underweight or "short" the Kiwi in those portfolio's where permissible. A cut to negative interest rates is likely to drive down real carry and further reduce our valuation of the currency. All else being equal, this would further reduce the attractiveness of the NZ dollar relative to other currencies. As a number of other investment houses appear to focus on nominal carry, negative nominal rates are likely to be unattractive to them as well, potentially exacerbating the decline in the currency.

Finally, as most New Zealand based investors tend to hedge their foreign asset holdings, including their global bond exposure, a negative domestic rate is likely to increase those hedging costs. However, as most major economies also have very low nominal interest rates, or already negative, the potential rise in hedging costs is likely to be small.

Risk Disclosures

- Unless otherwise stated, this document reflects Colchester Global Investors Limited ('Colchester') views and opinions as of the date of the document. The information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is intended only for professional clients and third-party intermediaries. Colchester makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this document and disclaims all liability for any direct, indirect, consequential or other losses or damages including loss of profits incurred by you or any third party that may arise from reliance on this document. Although Colchester has obtained the information and opinions in this document from sources which it deems to be reliable, Colchester makes no representation as to their accuracy or completeness.
- This is not a research report and is not intended as such. Certain information in this document may constitute forward-looking statements. Due to the various uncertainties and actual events, the actual performance of the markets may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. As a result, you should not rely on such forward-looking statements in making any investment decisions.
- You should seek professional advice before making any investment decisions. Past performance is no guarantee of future performance and the value of any investment may fall as well as rise. Investment in the products mentioned in this document puts your capital at risk, and you may lose some or all of your investment. Nothing in this document should be construed as providing any type of investment, tax or other advice.
- You should be aware that any investment involves a degree of risk. The return of your investment may increase or decrease as a result of currency fluctuations if your investment is made in a currency other than that used in the past performance calculation within this document.
- Nothing in this document should be considered a solicitation, recommendation, endorsement or offer to purchase or sell any financial instrument. A full performance document in compliance with Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS ®) is available upon request. Additional information regarding policies and procedures for calculating and reporting returns is also available on request. This information is provided for indicative purposes only, and is supplied in good faith based on sources which we believe, but do not guarantee, to be accurate or complete as of the date of this document only and may be subject to change without notice.
- This document may contain information obtained from third parties, including ratings from credit ratings agencies. Reproduction and distribution of third party content in any form is prohibited, except with the prior written permission of the related third party. Third party content providers do not endorse or recommend the securities or products discussed herein, nor do they guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of any information, including ratings (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, or for the results obtained from the use of such content. Third party content providers give no express or implied warranties, including, but not limited to, any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose or use. Third party content providers shall not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including lost income or profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of their content, including ratings. Credit ratings are statements of opinions and are not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold or sell securities. They do not address the suitability of securities for investment purposes and should not be relied on as investment advice.
- There can be no assurance that professionals currently employed by Colchester will continue to be employed by the firm or that a level of experience or past performance is indicative of future performance or success.

Regulatory Information

- Colchester is an employee owned firm headquartered in London and has regional offices in New York, Singapore and Dubai and a representative office in Sydney, Australia.
- Colchester is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority in the United Kingdom. Colchester is also registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission in the USA and is registered as a Commodity Trading Advisor and Commodity Pool Operator with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.
- Colchester Global Investors (Singapore) Pte. Ltd holds a capital markets services licence in fund management issued by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. Colchester Global Investors (Singapore) Pte. Ltd also holds an offshore discretionary investment management services licence issued by the Financial Services Commission of Korea.
- Please note the following in respect of Colchester's regulatory status in Australia: (i) neither Colchester Global Investors Limited nor Colchester Global Investors (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. holds an Australian financial services licence for the provision of certain financial services, and both entities are exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services licence under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cwlth) in respect of the financial services Colchester provides; (ii) Colchester Global Investors Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority of the United Kingdom under UK laws, which differ from Australian laws; (iii) Colchester Global Investors (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore under Singapore laws, which differ from Australian laws. Therefore, Australian wholesale clients are not necessarily subject to the same types of legal protections or remedies that they would enjoy if Colchester was directly subject to the Corporations Act. Colchester is entitled to offer its financial services in Australia pursuant to an exemption from the requirement to hold an Australian Financial Services Licence under the Corporations Act, on the basis, among other things, that the clients are "wholesale clients" within the meaning of the Corporations Act.
- Colchester Global Investors Middle East Limited is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority for the provision of Advising on Financial Products and Arranging Deals in Investments. All communications and services are directed at Professional Clients only. Persons other than Professional Clients, such as Retail Clients, are not the intended recipients of Colchester Global Investors Middle East Limited's communications or services. Colchester Global Investors Middle East Limited is a company established in the Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC) pursuant to the DIFC Companies Law with registration number CL 3239.
- Discretionary investment management services and funds are not and will not be marketed in Argentina by means of a public offering, as such term is defined under Section 2 of Law N° 26,831, as amended. No application has been or will be made with the Argentine Comisión Nacional de Valores, the Argentine securities governmental authority, to offer funds or discretionary investment management services in Argentina
- Colchester Global Investors Limited is licenced as a financial services provider by the Financial Sector Conduct Authority (licence number 43012) in South Africa.
- Colchester Global Investors Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Colchester Global Investors Limited. It is not permitted to provide investment advice or otherwise engage in a regulated activity.